1 JAMES R. SIGEL (CA SBN 288478) JamesSigel@dwt.com 2 DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP 50 California Street, 23rd Floor 3 San Francisco, California 94111-4701 (415) 276-6500 Telephone: 4 5 Attorney for Respondent Apple Inc. 6 [Additional counsel listed on signature page] 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAN JOSE DIVISION 11 CASE NO.: 5:23-mc-80322 (EJD) 12 (Consolidated) 13 STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER CONSUMERS' ASSOCIATION) RE: EXTENDING DEADLINE TO MOVE TO ΙN 14 LITIGATION **QUASH OR RESPOND TO SUBPOENAS** 15 16 17 18 Pursuant to Local Civil Rules 6-2 and 7-12, applicants Consumers' Association ("Which") 19 and Qualcomm Incorporated ("Qualcomm") and respondents Apple Inc. ("Apple"), Samsung 20 Semiconductor, Inc. ("SSI"), and Samsung, Electronics America, Inc. ("SEA," and together with 21 SSI, the "Samsung Entities") hereby stipulate as follows: 22 WHEREAS, on December 7, 2023, Which commenced the above-captioned miscellaneous 23 proceeding (the "Which 1782 Proceeding") seeking discovery pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1782 24 ("Section 1782") from Apple, as well as the Samsung Entities, in connection with a lawsuit (the 25 "U.K. Action") that Which commenced against Qualcomm before the Competition Appeal 26 Tribunal of the United Kingdom, see Dkt. No. 1;¹ 27 References to a "Dkt. No." refer to the ECF numbers in the above-captioned, consolidated 28

WHEREAS, on January 23, 2024, the Court entered an *ex parte* order in the Which 1782 Proceeding authorizing Which to serve subpoenas on Apple and the Samsung Entities, without prejudice to any argument that may be raised in a motion to quash, and requiring Apple and the Samsung Entities to file any such motion within 30 days of service or notice of the subpoenas and this Court's January 23, 2024 order, *see* Dkt. No. 21 at 7;

WHEREAS on January 25, 2024, Apple received a copy of Which's subpoena and this Court's January 23, 2024 order;

WHEREAS, on January 29, 2024, SSI received, but was not served with, a copy of Which's subpoena and SEA was served with Which's subpoena on February 2, 2024;

WHEREAS SSI served written objections to Which's aforementioned subpoena on February 12, 2024, and SEA served written objections to Which's aforementioned subpoena on February 13, 2024;

WHEREAS, on February 23, 2024, Which served SSI with Which's subpoena, and also re-served SEA with Which's subpoena;

WHEREAS SSI and SEA served amended written objections and responses to Which's subpoenas on March 8, 2024;

WHEREAS, on January 26, 2024, Qualcomm commenced a separate miscellaneous proceeding captioned *In re Ex Parte Application of: Qualcomm Incorporated*, No. 5:24-mc-80019 (N.D. Cal) (the "Qualcomm 1782 Proceeding" and, with the Which 1782 Proceeding, the "1782 Proceedings") seeking discovery pursuant to Section 1782 from Apple and the Samsung Entities in connection with the U.K. Action, *see* Qualcomm Dkt. No. 1;

WHEREAS, on February 7, 2024, the Court entered an order relating the 1782 Proceedings pursuant to Local Civil Rules 3-12 and 7-11, *see* Dkt. No. 24; Qualcomm Dkt. No. 13;

WHEREAS, on February 9, 2024, this Court entered an *ex parte* order in the Qualcomm 1782 Proceeding authorizing Qualcomm to serve subpoenas on Apple and the Samsung Entities,

Which 1782 Proceeding. References to a "Qualcomm Dkt. No." refer to the ECF numbers in the Qualcomm 1782 Proceeding, as defined herein.

without prejudice to any argument that may be raised in a motion to quash, and requiring Apple and the Samsung Entities to file any such motion within 30 days of service or notice of the subpoena and this Court's February 9, 2024 order, *see* Qualcomm Dkt. No. 14 at 6;

WHEREAS, on February 15, 2024, Qualcomm served a subpoena and a copy of this Court's February 9, 2024 order on Apple;

WHEREAS, on February 16, 2024, Qualcomm served subpoenas on SSI and SEA, along with a copy of this Court's February 9, 2024 order;

WHEREAS SSI and SEA served written objections to Qualcomm's subpoenas on March 1, 2024;

WHEREAS, on February 16, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in each of the 1782 Proceedings setting April 3, 2024 as the deadline for the Samsung Entities to move to quash the subpoenas that Which and Qualcomm were authorized to serve on them, *see* Dkt. No. 30; Qualcomm Dkt. No. 18;

WHEREAS, on February 21, 2024, this Court entered an order in each of the 1782 Proceedings granting Apple's motion to stay the deadline for Apple to respond to the subpoena that Which delivered to Apple, and ordering that Apple "need not move to quash, respond to or comply with" that subpoena "until April 3, 2024," *see* Dkt. No. 32; Qualcomm Dkt. No. 20;

WHEREAS, on March 15, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the Qualcomm 1782 Proceeding setting April 3, 2024 as the deadline for Apple to move to quash or respond to the subpoena that Qualcomm served on Apple, *see* Qualcomm Dkt. No. 22;

WHEREAS, on March 28, 2024, this Court consolidated the 1782 Proceedings pursuant to Rule 42(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and ordered that all papers to be filed in the consolidated actions shall be filed in the above captioned-miscellaneous proceeding;

WHEREAS, on April 1, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated 1782 Proceedings setting April 24, 2024 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm, *see* Dkt. No. 38;

WHEREAS, on April 19, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated 1782 Proceedings setting May 15, 2024 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm, *see* Dkt. No. 40;

WHEREAS, on May 13, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated 1782 Proceedings setting June 5, 2024 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm, *see* Dkt. No. 42;

WHEREAS, on June 5, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated 1782 Proceedings setting June 20, 2024 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm, *see* Dkt. No. 45;

WHEREAS, on June 20, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated 1782 Proceedings setting July 5, 2024 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm, *see* Dkt. No. 47;

WHEREAS, on July 8, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated 1782 Proceedings setting August 5, 2024 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm, *see* Dkt. No. 49;

WHEREAS, on August 6, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated 1782 Proceedings setting August 19, 2024 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm, *see* Dkt. No. 53;

WHEREAS, on August 16, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated 1782 Proceedings setting October 3, 2024 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm, *see* Dkt. No. 55;

WHEREAS, on October 4, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated 1782 Proceedings setting November 14, 2024 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm, *see* Dkt.

No. 57;

WHEREAS, on November 14, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated 1782 Proceedings setting December 5, 2024 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm, *see* Dkt. No. 59;

WHEREAS, since November 14, 2024, Which, Qualcomm, Apple and the Samsung Entities have continued to meet and confer in good faith;

WHEREAS, on December 5, 2024, Which agreed to Apple's request to extend the deadline to move to quash or respond to Which's subpoena, so as to continue exploring whether a compromise can be reached that would obviate the need for a motion to quash, and Qualcomm and the Samsung Entities also agreed thereafter that such extension would help all parties to continue exploring whether such a compromise can be reached; and

WHEREAS, Which, Qualcomm, Apple, and the Samsung Entities wish to set January 16, 2025 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to Which's and Qualcomm's subpoenas, thereby aligning all parties' motion-to-quash deadlines in the 1782 Proceedings and thereby allowing an opportunity for efficient briefing of any motions to quash.

NOW THEREFORE, WHICH, QUALCOMM, APPLE, AND THE SAMSUNG ENTITIES HEREBY STIPULATE, subject to the approval of this Court, that January 16, 2025 is the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to the subpoenas that Which and Qualcomm served on Apple and the Samsung Entities in connection with the 1782 Proceedings. The timing and procedures set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 shall otherwise apply.^{2, 3}

To clarify Which's position in an ongoing dispute between Which and the Samsung Entities, Which does not hold the view that the Court's deadline for the motion to quash is obviated by any non-party serving objections to the subpoena. The parties may seek a clarification from the Court, if necessary.

The Samsung Entities do not understand the Court's orders or the parties' stipulations (which specifically include the phrase "[t]he timing and procedures set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 shall otherwise apply") to require a motion to quash in order to preserve

	Case 5.25-IIIC-00322-EJD D	ocument of Filed 12/05/24 Page 6 of 9
1	DATED: December 5, 2024	Dagga astfaller ordensitted
2	DATED: December 5, 2024	Respectfully submitted
		/s/ James R. Sigel
3		James R. Sigel (Cal. Bar. No. 288478) DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
4		50 California Street, 23rd Floor
5		San Francisco, California 94111-4701 Telephone: (415) 276-6500
6		E-mail: JamesSigel@dwt.com
7		Attorney for Respondent Apple Inc.
8		
9		/s/ Leo D. Caseria
10		Leo D. Caseria (Cal. Bar. No. 240323) SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP
		350 South Grand Avenue, 40th Floor
11		Los Angeles, CA 90071-3460 Telephone: (213) 620-1780
12		Facsimile: (213) 620-1398
13		E-mail: lcaseria@sheppardmullin.com
14		Mona Solouki (Cal. Bar. No. 215145)
		SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor
15		San Francisco, California 94111
16		Telephone: (415) 434-9100 Facsimile: (415) 434-3947
17		Facsimile: (415) 434-3947 E-mail: msolouki@sheppardmullin.com
18		Attorneys for Respondents Samsung Semiconductor,
19		Inc. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc.
20		
21		/s/ Mary Sameera Van Houten Harper
22		Samuel Maida (Cal. Bar. No. 333835) HAUSFELD LLP
		600 Montgomery Street, Suite 3200
23		San Francisco, CA 94111 Tel: (415) 633-1908
24		Fax: (415) 358-4980
25		E-mail: smaida@hausfeld.com
26		
27	objections if a subpoena recipient timely	served written objections under Rule 45, and view these
28	stipulations as merely preserving the option	
20		
	II	- 6 -

1 Reena A. Gambhir (pro hac vice) 2 Mary Sameera Van Houten Harper (pro hac vice) HAUSFELD LLP 3 888 16th Street NW, Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20006 4 (202) 540-7200 Telephone: Facsimile: (202) 540-7201 5 E-mail: rgambhir@hausfeld.com E-mail: mvanhouten@hausfeld.com 6 Attorneys for Petitioner Consumers' Association 7 8 /s/ Felice Galant Katherine G. Connolly (CA SBN 313640) 9 NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP 555 California Street, Suite 3300 10 San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: (628) 231-6800 11 (628) 231-6799 Facsimile: E-mail: katie.connolly@nortonrosefulbright.com 12 Richard S. Zembek (pro hac vice) 13 NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP 1301 McKinney Street, Suite 5100 14 Houston, Texas 77010 Telephone: (713) 651-5151 15 Facsimile: (713) 651-5246 E-mail: richard.zembek@nortonrosefulbright.com 16 17 Felice Galant (pro hac vice) NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP 18 1301 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10019 19 Telephone: (212) 318-3000 (212) 318-3400 Facsimile: 20 E-mail: felice.galant@nortonrosefulbright.com 21 Attorneys for Petitioner Qualcomm Incorporated 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL CIVIL RULE 5-1(i)(3) Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 5-1(i)(3), I certify under penalty of perjury that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the signatories above. /s/James R. Sigel JAMES R. SIGEL

ATTESTATION

1	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED ORDER		
2	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED that the deadline for Apple and the		
3	Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and		
4	Qualcomm shall be extended to January 16, 2025. The timing and procedures set forth in Federal Rule		
5	of Civil Procedure 45 shall otherwise apply.		
6	of civil Procedure to shall otherwise appry.		
7	Date:		
8	HONORABLE EDWARD J. DAVILA UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
1819			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			